

Formatted: Font: 14 pt

### Memorandum

To: Committee on Administration and Management

From: Funmi Olorunnipa (Staff Counsel)

Date: April 417, 2014

Re: Revised Draft Recommendation for Guidance in the Rulemaking Process Project

[The following revised draft recommendation is based on the *Guidance in the Rulemaking Process: Evaluating Preambles, Regulatory Text, and Freestanding Documents as Vehicles for Regulatory Guidance* Project and related draft report and recommendations by Professor Kevin M. Stack of Vanderbilt Law School. This This revised draft reflects changes made to the initial draft as a result of the Committee's discussion at its April 8, 2014 meeting and written comments received from Committee members and members of the public. This revised draft is intended to facilitate the Committee's discussion at its April 821, 2014 public meeting and not to preempt the Committee's discussion and consideration of the proposed recommendations. In keeping with Conference practice, a revised draft preamble has also been included. The aim of the preamble is to explain the problem or issue the Recommendation is designed to address, and the Committee should feel free to revise it as appropriate.]

### [REVISED DRAFT] PREAMBLE

Over the past two decades, the use of guidance—nonbinding statements of interpretation, policy, and advice about implementation—by administrative agencies has prompted significant interest from Congress, executive branch officials, agency officials, and commentators. Most of this attention has been directed toward "guidance documents," freestanding, nonbinding policy and interpretive statements issued by agencies. Policymakers and While such guidance is often helpful to the public and is normally to be encouraged, commentators have expressed concern that agencies are relyingtoo often rely on guidance in ways that circumvent the notice-and-comment rulemaking process. The long-standing debate about guidance and its relationship to

<sup>1</sup> Office of Management and Budget, *Final Bulletin for Agency Good Guidance Practices*, 72 Fed. Reg. 3432, 3439 (2007) [hereinafter *OMB Final Bulletin*].Jan. 25, 2007).

Formatted: Not Small caps

Formatted: Font: Italic, Not Small caps

Formatted: Not Small caps

Formatted: Footnote Reference



notice-and-comment rulemaking has, however, largely excludedovershadowed consideration of the function and varieties of *contemporaneous guidance*—that is, guidance that agencies provide about the meaning and effect of their rules in the rulemaking process. Contemporaneous guidance typically appears in three main forms. First, agencies provide guidance about the meaning and application of their rules in explanatory "statement[s] of their basis and purpose," statements which constitute the bulk of the regulatory "preambles" issued with final rules. Second, agencies sometimes provide guidance about the application and interpretation of their regulations in the regulatory text itself and appendices that appear in the Code of Federal Regulations—(CFR). Third, when agencies promulgate their regulations, they sometimes also issue freestanding guidance documents. Contemporaneous guidance has a fundamental fair-notice benefit as it furnishes the public and regulated entities with the agency's understanding of the regulation at the time of issuance, reducing some of the uncertainty incident to any new regulatory change, as opposed to later in time or in the context of an enforcement proceeding.

To identify, evaluate and address the practical implications resulting from the neglect of contemporaneous guidance in debates about guidance and rulemaking, the Administrative Conference commissioned the study underlying this Recommendation.<sup>3</sup> Consistent with the Administrative Conference's statutory mandate to promote more effective public participation and efficiency in the rulemaking process, the Conference issues this Recommendation—which. The Recommendation suggests best practices and key—considerationsimportant factors for agencies to take into consideration with regard to the use of contemporaneous guidance in statements of basis and purpose in agency preambles, in regulatory text and appendices, and in freestanding guidance documents, TheThis Recommendation, which is directed to agencies, seeks to provide remedies for a number of key problems that have arisen regarding the use of cotemporaneous guidance.

Formatted: Font color: Text 1

 $\underline{http://www.acus.gov/sites/default/files/documents/Guidance \%20 in \%20 Agency \%20 Draft \%20 Report 4\_1\_2014.pdf$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 553(c) (2006).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  See Kevin M. Stack, Guidance in the rulemaking process: evaluating preambles, regulatory text, and freestanding documents as vehicles for regulatory guidance (April 1, 2014) (draft report to the Administrative Conference of the U.S.), available at



The Recommendation builds upon earlier Administrative Conference recommendations with regard to the use of guidance by agencies. Administrative Conference Recommendation 76-5, Interpretive Rules of General Applicability and Statements of General Policy, identified the benefits for the agency and potentially affected parties of providing notice and an opportunity to comment prior to the agency's adoption of what is now generally referred to as guidance (and sometimes called "non-legislative" rules). That recommendation advised agencies to use notice-and-comment procedures when issuing or amending guidance. In Recommendation 92-2, Agency Policy Statements, the Administrative Conference advised agencies to impose binding standards or obligations only through use of the legislative rulemaking procedures of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), typically through notice-and-comment. That recommendation also advised agencies to give notice of the nonbinding nature of general statements of policy and to allow parties an opportunity to challenge the wisdom of the policy statement prior to its application.

The Office of Management and Budget's *Final Bulletin for Agency Good Guidance Practices* (*OMB's Good Guidance Bulletin*) reflected the concerns identified in these prior recommendations; the *Bulletin* obliged covered agencies to provide a means for public feedback on significant guidance documents and to undertake notice-and-comment procedures before promulgating economically significant guidance, among other things. However, neither of these prior recommendations addressed the guidance that agencies provide in preambles to final rules or in text that appears in the CFR. This Recommendation now extends the Administrative Conference's prior attention to guidance by focusing on agencies' widespread use of contemporaneous guidance.

[Revised Draft: 4/4<u>17</u>/14]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 76-5, Interpretive Rules of General Applicability and Statements of General Policy, 41 Fed. Reg. 56767, 56769-70 (Dec. 30, 1976).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 92-2, *Agency Policy Statements*, 57 Fed. Reg. 30101, 30103-04 (July 8, 1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See supra note 1 at 3440 (Guidance Sections III and IV).



and regulated entities with the agency's understanding of its regulations at the time of issuance, as opposed to later in time or in the context of an enforcement proceeding, and can thereby reduce some of the uncertainty incident to any new regulatory change. In view of these benefits, the Conference's study identified several opportunities for greater incorporation of contemporaneous guidance into agency rulemaking as well as some problems related to contemporaneous guidance. with agencies' current practices. Several of the problems and opportunities involving contemporaneous guidance relate to the preambles of final rules. Preambles not only justify the rule's legal sufficiency, but also serve a guidance function by conveying agencies' understanding of the purpose and effect of the rules. The Conference suggests that preambles to final rules could be drafted and organized in ways that better serve this guidance function. At the most basic level, some preambles do not fail to include the issuing agency's own-independent statement of the purposes of the rulerules adopted in light of the statute's objectives. That Its absence reduces the usefulness of these statements in providing even the most basic guidance about the effect of the rules, and also does not reflect the bestgoverning understanding of what is required by the Administrative Procedure Act's requirementAPA's provision that agencies provide a statement of accompany their final rules with a statement of the

Contemporaneous guidance has a fundamental fair-notice benefit. It furnishes the public

Even when agencies provide such an independent statement, the well-designed organization of preambles can impedefacilitate their guidance function. First, given the length of preambles to many major rules, locating preambular guidance can be difficult, particularly where a preamble is written as narrative discussion without clear structure. To facilitate assist in the identification identifying of the preambular guidance an agency has provided, agencies should

rules' "basis and purpose" entails..." To facilitate understanding of the effect of the rules agencies they issue, agencies should providing provide in the preamble to final rules an independent statement of the purposes of the rule and it relationship to the authorizing statute's

objectives in the statement of "basis and purpose" appearing in the preamble to final rules.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5", Tab stops:

Not at 0.5'

Formatted: Tab stops: Not at 2.25"

[<u>Revised</u> Draft: 4/4<u>17</u>/14]

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See supra note 3 at 31-55 (identifying issues with current agency practices regarding contemporaneous guidance and setting forth recommendations for improvement).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 553(c) (2006).——



organizeconsider organizing their preambles into include a section-by-section analysis; that provides explanation of the rule in sections that correspond to the sections of the rule. Second, extensive reliance on reasoning in their final rule preambles, many agencies incorporate or rely upon discussions of the basis, purpose, or effect of the rule provided in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (and or other prior notices). This can save time and costs for agencies in preparing their preambles, but it also requires the public and the regulated affected parties to integrate two (or more) agency treatments of the rule's meaning basis, purpose, and effect. To lower the cost of understanding themake it easier to understand guidance provided in preambles, agencies should be discouraged from extensive reliance consider striving to make the background discussion preamble to the background, final rule a comprehensive statement of the rule's basis, purpose, basis, and effect of the rule provided in; and to the Notice extent feasible, avoid the practice of Proposed Rulemaking (and other incorporating or simply referring to prior notices) statements on these matters in the rule's final preamble.

Many agencies could also take additional steps to make it easier for the public and the regulatedaffected parties to locate the guidance content of regulatory preambles. In this regard, agencies should develop ways to display Agencies could mention preambles in their regulations on their websites with hyperlinks to relevant webpages and other compilations of guidance in a given rule's preamble or in other related guidance documents. Agencies should also consider issuing separate, contemporaneous documents summarizing the guidance provided in the preamble in topical ways. More generally, agencies should develop policies regarding, and begin developing ways to integrate the guidance content of their preambles, improve the visibility of preambles as sourcesinto their indices or topical treatments of guidance by referencing them in agency compilation. Agency policies on issuing guidance could also address the guidance content of their rulemaking preambles, including ways to enhance access to and the visibility of that content. In addition, for agencies covered by the *OMB's Good Guidance Bulletin*, the guidance statements and mentioning them as a source of content of their preambles must comply with the *Bulletin*'s procedural requirements. More generally, displaying electronic versions of regulations with hyperlinks to relevant portions of their preambles and other

<sup>11</sup> See supra note 1.

[Revised Draft: 4/4<u>17</u>/14]



guidance on agency webpages, and bear in mind the guidance function of preambles when issuing separate guidance documents.

—a practice with which some agencies are experimenting 12—could make it easier to find this content, and holds promise for future innovation. The Conference encourages the Office of the Federal Register and Government Printing Office to work with agencies so that these innovations can be incorporated into the official versions of the CFR.

A separate but equally important problem is that some agencies misuse preambles by relying on statements in the preamble when they should rely on statements in the regulatory text instead. This problem is analogous to that addressed by the Administrative Conference in a prior recommendation on agency policy statements. To address this problemissue, agencies should distinguish preambular text from regulatory text by avoiding the use of mandatory language in preambles. In particular, agencies should not include could avoid including statements in preambles to final rules that purport to specify the legal effect of particular actions or that could reasonably be read as legally binding. In particular, agencies could avoid the use of mandatory language in preambles to final rules except where that language is used simply to describe a statutory, regulatory, or constitutional requirement; or where the language is addressed to agency staff and will not foreclose agency consideration of positions advanced by affected private parties. In particular, agencies could avoid by affected private parties.

Agencies should<u>could</u> also tailor the location of contemporaneous guidance to the needs and practices of the regulated<u>population</u>. For instance, wherewhen the regulated <u>public find</u> statements in agency knows that interested parties rely primarily on the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) more accessible than statements in preambles or separately issued documents, agencies should to understand the regulation, agencies might consider including notes and

[<u>Revised</u> Draft: 4/4<u>17</u>/14]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See, e.g., http://www.consumerfinance.gov/eregulations/1005 (visited April 15, 2014) (providing a copy of 12 C.F.R. Part 1004 with hyperlinks to section-by-section analysis from regulatory preamble and other navigation tools and links).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See supra note 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> *OMB's Good Guidance Bulletin* at 3440 (also including prohibition on using mandatory language in guidance documents with this qualification).



examples as guidance, such as application examples, in the regulation textCFR, or inpreparing an appendix that appears in the CFR. Likewise, where the official interpretations of regulations set forth safe harbors from enforcement, issuing those interpretations in an appendix to the regulations which appears containing more general statements of guidance that appear in the CFR provides desired visibility and uniformity.

One last problem identified in the Conference's study is that many agencies' small entity compliance guides, required by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), <sup>15</sup> are difficult to find on their webpages, despite the SBREFA requirement that guides be posted on the agency website in an "easily identified location." <sup>16</sup> To facilitate access to these guides and not readily accessible on to encourage consistency in their coverage, it would be helpful to have a single repository with links to agency webpages with this content or to all agencies' webpages. To address this problem, the small entity compliance guides themselves. The Office of Advocacy in the Small Business Administration (SBA) should collect all compliance guides produced by agencies and post them on the SBA's already has a webpage devoted to SBREFA—and is a logical home for such a repository or clearinghouse.

#### [REVISED DRAFT] RECOMMENDATION

- 1. In the statement of "basis and purpose" produced Final Preamble Drafting
- 1. Consistent with governing law, in the preamble to final rules, agencies should include an independent statement of the purposes of athe final rule, addressing why, in the agency's judgment, the rule advances statutory objectives.
- 2. Agencies Where feasible, for final rules with lengthy preambles, agencies should consider organizing their preambles in including a section-by-section analysis (or equivalent) in order to facilitate identification which the organization of the guidance the agency has

Formatted: Font color: Auto

[Revised Draft: 4/4<u>17</u>/14]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 601 note, § 212 (requiring the production of compliance guides whenever the agency must produce a regulatory flexibility analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)), and quoting § 605(b)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Id. § 212(a)(2(A).



provided as to each provision preambular discussion corresponds to the organization of the final

<u>rules themselves.</u>
3. Agencies should rule instrive for the preamble.
to final rules to provide a comprehensive, freestanding statement of the basis——3.
Agencies should avoid extensive reliance on discussions of the background, effect
purpose, and basiseffect of the rulefinal rule; and should, to the extent feasible, avoid
incorporating prior published discussions of the rule's basis, purpose, and effect, such as those
provided in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (or other prior notices) in the preamble to the
final rule
4. Agencies should consider issuing separate, contemporaneous guidance documents
which summarize or restate the guidance provided by the preamble in topical ways, and include
such guidance in topical listings of guidance on their websites.
5. Agencies should 4. Agencies should avoid use of mandatory language
in the preambles to final rules, unless the agency is using these words to describe a statutory.
regulatory, or constitutional requirement, or the language is addressed to agency staff and will
not foreclose agency consideration of positions advanced by affected private parties. Such
language should be understood to include not only mandatory terms such as "shall," "must,"
"required," and "requirement," mentioned in the OMB Final Bulletin for Agency Good Guidance
Practices (OMB's Good Guidance Bulletin), but also any other language which purports to
specify the future legal effects of particular actions, such as "will terminate," "will grant," and
"will result in denial."
Policies on Guidance and Collections of Guidance
5. Agencies should integrate the guidance content of their preambles into their
general compilations of guidance and their webpages devoted to guidance. At a minimum
agencies should mention preambles to their final rules as sources of guidance in their general
compilations of guidance and on their webpages devoted to guidance.

[<u>Revised</u> Draft: 4/4<u>17</u>/14] 8



6. To the extent agencies have policies on issuing guidance, those policies should address the guidance content of preambles to their final rules. For agencies covered by *OMB's Good Guidance Bulletin*, their policies should address compliance with the *Bulletin's* procedural requirements applicable to any significant guidance documents and economically significant guidance included in preambles to final rules.

#### Use of the Code of Federal Regulations for Guidance

7. Where the regulated population is understood to rely primarily on the codified text of the regulation to understand their compliance obligations, agencies should consider publishing notes and examples as guidance in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) or more general guidance in an appendix to the CFR.

#### **Electronic Presentation of Regulations**

- 8. The Office of the Federal Register and the Government Printing Office are encouraged to develop ways to display their-regulations in electronic form on their websites that includewill facilitate their understand and use by the public, such as the inclusion of hyperlinks to relevant guidance in the rules' preambles (and to other relevant guidance documents).
- 6. Agencies should develop policies regarding the guidance content of their preambles, addressing, among other things, how they organize the guidance content of their preambles.
- (a) Agency procedures for the approval of guidance documents (including the written procedures for the approval of significant guidance covered agencies must develop under the 
  OMB Final Bulletin for Agency Good Guidance Practices (OMB Final Bulletin)) should address 
  the role and visibility of guidance statements in the preambles to the final rules the agency 
  issues.

[Revised Draft: 4/417/14]



(b) For agencies covered by the <i>OMB Final Bulletin</i> , these policies should address
compliance with the Bulletin's procedural requirements with regard to any significant and
economically significant guidance included in preambles.
7. Agencies should bear in mind the guidance content of their preambles when issuing separate guidance documents.
8. Agency compilations of guidance statements should include references to preambles, and agencies should mention preambles to their final rules as a source of guidance on agency web pages devoted to guidance.
9. Agencies should not use mandatory language in their preambles. Such language should be understood to include not only mandatory terms such as "shall," "must" and "requirement," singled out in the <i>OMB Final Bulletin</i> , but also any language which purports to specify the future legal effects of particular actions, such as the predictive language "will terminate," "will grant" "will result in denial."
10. Where the regulated population is (1) primarily the public and (2) is understood to rely primarily on the codified regulation text to understand their compliance obligations, agencies should consider including guidance (such as example applications) in the text of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) or in an appendix to the CFR.
11. Where agencies seek to create a safe harbor from criminal or civil enforcement actions by complying with an agency's official interpretation of its regulations, that guidance should be published in the text of the CFR or in an appendix to the CFR.  12Small Entity Compliance Guides
9. The Office of Advocacy in the Small Business Administration should maintain a web accessible <u>collectionrepository</u> of small entity compliance guides produced by all agencies in compliance with the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA) on the Small Business Administration's web page devoted to SBREFAThe <u>Director of the</u>
Office of Management and Budget should direct agencies repository can provide links to furnish



an electronic copy of all agency webpages with small entity compliance guides within 60 days from the date of issuance or links to the Office of Advocacy in the Small Business Administration for posting, guides themselves.

[<u>Revised</u> Draft: 4/4<u>17</u>/14]